The indicators presented and analyzed in Doing Business measure business regulation and the protection of property rights—and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the degree of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or to register and transfer commercial property. Second, they gauge regulatory outcomes, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of investors against looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. Finally, a set of indicators measures different aspects of employment regulation.

The data for all sets of indicators in Doing Business 2011 are for June 2010.1

**METHODOLOGY**

The Doing Business data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the Doing Business team, with academic advisers, designs a survey. The survey uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across economies and over time—with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations. Surveys are administered through more than 8,200 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 14.1). These experts have several rounds of interaction with the Doing Business team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. For Doing Business 2011 team members visited 33 economies to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from surveys are subjected to numerous tests for robustness, which lead to revisions or expansions of the information collected.

The Doing Business methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue, as the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive and easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. Finally, the data not only highlight the extent of specific regulatory obstacles to business but also identify their source and point to what might be reformed.

**LIMITS TO WHAT IS MEASURED**

The Doing Business methodology has 5 limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, the collected data refer to businesses in the economy’s largest business city and may not be representative of regulation in other parts of the economy. To address this limitation, subnational Doing Business indicators were created for 6 economies in 2009/10: Colombia, Indonesia, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan and Russia.2 A city profile on Zanzibar, Tanzania, was also published in 2009/10. A subnational study is under way in the Philippines. In addition, a city profile is under way for Juba, Southern Sudan, and a regional report has been started in Southeastern Europe, covering 7 economies—Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, FYR Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro and Serbia—and 16 cities. Increasingly, such studies are being periodically updated to measure progress over time or to expand geographic coverage to additional cities.

This year that is the case for the subnational studies in Colombia, Nigeria, Pakistan and the Philippines and for the regional study in Southeast Europe. The subnational studies point to significant differences in the speed of reform and the ease of doing business across cities in the same economy.

Second, the data often focus on a specific business form—generally a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent) of a specified size—and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses, for example, sole proprietorships. Third, transactions described in a standardized case scenario refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in Doing Business represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.
Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in Doing Business 2011 would differ from the recollection of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other perception surveys.

**CHANGES IN WHAT IS MEASURED**

The methodology for the employing workers indicators was updated this year, with guidance from a consultative group of relevant experts and stakeholders. The employing workers indicators are not included in this year's aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business.

Changes agreed as of the date of publication are the following: the calculation of the minimum wage ratio was changed to ensure that no economy can receive the highest score if it has no minimum wage at all, if the law provides a regulatory mechanism for the minimum wage that is not enforced in practice, if there is only a customary minimum wage or if the minimum wage applies only to the public sector. A minimum threshold was set for paid annual leave and a ceiling for working days allowed per week to ensure that no economy benefits in the scoring from excessive flexibility in these areas. Finally, the calculation of the redundancy cost and of the annual leave period for the rigidity of hours index was changed to refer to the average value for a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years rather than the value for a worker with 20 years of tenure.

**DATA CHALLENGES AND REVISIONS**

Most laws and regulations underlying the Doing Business data are available on the Doing Business website at http://www.doingbusiness.org. All the sample surveys and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through the website’s “Ask a Question” function at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Doing Business publishes 8,967 indicators each year. To create these indicators, the team measures more than 52,000 data points, each of which is made available on the Doing Business website. Historical data for each indicator and economy are available on the website, beginning with the first year the indicator or economy was included in the report. To provide a comparable time series for research, the Doing Business website provides historical data sets adjusted for changes in methodology and any revisions in data due to corrections. The website also makes available all original data sets used for background papers. The correction rate between Doing Business 2010 and Doing Business 2011 is 5.7%.

**FIVE-YEAR MEASURE OF CUMULATIVE CHANGE: DB CHANGE SCORE**

Doing Business 2011 is introducing a new measure to illustrate how the regulatory environment for business has changed in absolute terms in each economy over the 5 years since Doing Business 2006 was published. This measure is called the DB change score. In the 9 areas of business regulation included in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business in Doing Business 2011, the new measure assigns a neutral score if there were no changes in the underlying data, a positive score for changes leading to improvements in the indicators and a negative score for changes having an adverse im-
This measure complements the aggregate ease of doing business ranking, which benchmarks each economy’s current performance on the indicators against that of all other economies in the Doing Business sample. By showing absolute change over time, the measure illustrates for each economy how much its regulatory environment for business as measured through the Doing Business indicators has changed compared with 5 years ago. Economies that achieved the biggest cumulative change in the past 5 years are assigned the highest DB change score.

The DB change score is constructed in 4 steps.

1. As a first step, the absolute difference in scores is calculated for each of the component indicators of the 9 Doing Business topics, 28 in all. For example, for starting a business there are 4 indicators: procedures, time, cost (as a percentage of GNI per capita) and paid-in minimum capital requirement (as a percentage of GNI per capita). Annual absolute changes are calculated economy by economy for each of these indicators. For example, if starting a business in an economy took 200 days as measured in Doing Business 2006 and only 50 as measured in Doing Business 2007, a change of 150 would be recorded for the economy. If instead the time had increased to 350 days, a change of −150 would be recorded.

2. To allow aggregation across all indicators, the results for each indicator are made comparable by normalizing the change values on a scale of 0–1, where a higher value indicates that an economy made a larger absolute improvement on a particular indicator than other economies. As a second step, the values are rescaled once more so that any lowering of an indicator is reflected by a negative score and any improvement by a positive score. A score of 0 indicates that no change occurred.

3. To illustrate the change across all 9 areas of business regulation, a simple average of all scores obtained for the different indicators is taken to calculate a total annual measure of change for each economy. By using a simple average, the new measure follows the approach used in the ease of doing business ranking.

4. Finally, the annual measures of change for each economy are added to illustrate the cumulative change in its business regulatory environment over the past 5 years.

EASE OF DOING BUSINESS RANKING

The ease of doing business index ranks economies from 1 to 183. For each economy the index is calculated as the ranking on the simple average of its percentile rankings on each of the 9 topics included in the index in Doing Business 2011: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and closing a business. The ranking on each topic is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (table 14.2).

If an economy has no laws or regulations covering a specific area—for example, bankruptcy—it receives a “no practice” mark. Similarly, an economy receives a “no practice” or “not possible” mark if regulation exists but is never used in practice or if a competing regulation prohibits such practice. Either way, a “no practice” mark puts the economy at the bottom of the ranking on the relevant indicator.

Here is one example of how the ranking is constructed. In Iceland it takes 5 procedures, 5 days and 2.3% of annual income per capita in fees to open a business. The minimum capital required amounts to 11.97% of income per capita. On these 4 indicators Iceland ranks in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 14.2 Which indicators make up the ranking?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starting a business</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital to open a new business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dealing with construction permits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures, time and cost to obtain construction permits, inspections and utility connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registering property</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures, time and cost to transfer commercial real estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Getting credit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength of legal rights index, depth of credit information index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protecting investors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength of investor protection index: extent of disclosure index, extent of director liability index and ease of shareholder suits index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the 13th, 4th, 15th and 63rd percentiles. So on average Iceland ranks in the 24th percentile on the ease of starting a business. It ranks in the 50th percentile on protecting investors, 40th percentile on trading across borders, 10th percentile on enforcing contracts, 9th percentile on closing a business and so on. Higher rankings indicate simpler regulation and stronger protection of property rights. The simple average of Iceland’s percentile rankings on all topics is 25%. When all economies are ordered by their average percentile rank, Iceland is in 15th place.

More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components—yield a nearly identical ranking. The choice of aggregation method has little influence on the rankings because the 9 sets of indicators provide sufficiently broad coverage across topics. So Doing Business uses the simplest method.

The ease of doing business index is limited in scope. It does not account for an economy’s proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders), the strength of its financial system, the security of property from theft and looting, its macroeconomic conditions or the strength of underlying institutions. There remains a large unfinished agenda for research into what regulation constitutes binding constraints, what package of reforms is most effective and how these issues are shaped by the context in an economy. The Doing Business indicators provide a new empirical data set that may improve understanding of these issues.

Doing Business 2011 also uses a simple method to calculate which economies improve the most on the ease of doing business. First, it selects the economies that reformed in 3 or more of the 9 topics included in this year’s ease of doing business ranking. Twenty-five economies met this criterion: Belarus, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Georgia, Grenada, Guyana, Hungary, Indonesia, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Mali, Montenegro, Peru, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone, Slovenia, Sweden, Tajikistan, Ukraine, Vietnam and Zambia. Second, Doing Business ranks these economies on the increase in their ranking on the ease of doing business from the previous year using comparable rankings.

### IN THE EASE OF DOING BUSINESS RANKING

This year’s aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business is based on 9 indicator sets: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and closing a business.

### STARTING A BUSINESS

Doing Business records all procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to start up and formally operate an industrial or commercial business. These include obtaining all necessary licenses and permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions for the company and employees with relevant authorities. The ranking on the ease of starting a business is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.1).

After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures is developed, along with the time and cost of complying with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital requirements. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers and government officials complete and verify the data.

Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that all agencies involved in the start-up process function without corruption. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the procedures are used.

### ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS

The business:

- Is a limited liability company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most popular among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most popular form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the economy’s largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita at the end of 2009, paid in cash.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the production or sale to the public of products or services. The business does not perform foreign trade activities and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. It is not using heavily polluting production processes.
What do the starting a business indicators measure?

**TABLE 14.3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCEDURES</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedures to legally start and operate a company (number)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preregistration (for example, name verification or reservation, notarization)</td>
<td>Official costs only, no bribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration in the economy’s largest business city</td>
<td>No professional fees unless services required by law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postregistration (for example, social security registration, company seal)</td>
<td><strong>Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deposited in a bank or with a notary before registration begins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Doing Business database.

- Leases the commercial plant and offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has at least 10 and up to 50 employees 1 month after the commencement of operations, all of them nationals.
- Has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed 10 pages long.

**PROCEDURES**

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founders with external parties (for example, government agencies, lawyers, auditors or notaries). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed in the same building but in different offices are counted as separate procedures. If founders have to visit the same office several times for different sequential procedures, each is counted separately. The founders are assumed to complete all procedures themselves, without middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law. If the services of professionals are required, procedures conducted by such professionals on behalf of the company are counted separately. Each electronic procedure is counted separately. If 2 procedures can be completed through the same website but require separate filings, they are counted as 2 procedures.

Both pre- and postincorporation procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to formally operate a business are recorded (table 14.3).

Procedures required for official correspondence or transactions with public agencies are also included. For example, if a company seal or stamp is required on official documents, such as tax declarations, obtaining the seal or stamp is counted. Similarly, if a company must open a bank account before registering for sales tax or value added tax, this transaction is included as a procedure. Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill 4 criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, they are used by the majority of companies, and avoiding them causes substantial delays.

Only procedures required of all businesses are covered. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses conducting general commercial or industrial activities. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included.

**TIME**

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers indicate is necessary to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no extra payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). A procedure is considered completed once the company has received the final document, such as the company registration certificate or tax number. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry regulations and their sequence from the beginning but has had no prior contact with any of the officials.

**COST**

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy’s income per capita. It includes all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. The company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating costs. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer’s estimate is taken as an official source. In the absence of a government officer’s estimate, estimates of incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.
PAID-IN MINIMUM CAPITAL

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank or with a notary before registration and up to 3 months following incorporation and is recorded as a percentage of the economy’s income per capita. The amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. Many economies have a minimum capital requirement but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Italy in June 2009 the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies was €10,000, of which at least €2,500 was payable before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Italy is therefore €2,500, or 10.1% of income per capita. In Mexico the minimum capital requirement was 50,000 pesos, of which one-fifth needed to be paid before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Mexico is therefore 10,000 pesos, or 9.2% of income per capita.

The data details on starting a business can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.

DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a standardized warehouse. These procedures include submitting all relevant project-specific documents (for example, building plans and site maps) to the authorities; obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates; completing all required notifications; and receiving all necessary inspections. Doing Business also records procedures for obtaining connections for electricity, water, sewerage and a fixed land line. Procedures necessary to register the property so that it can be used as collateral or transferred to another entity are also counted. The survey divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures and calculates the time and cost of completing each procedure in practice under normal circumstances. The ranking on the ease of dealing with construction permits is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.2).

Information is collected from experts in construction licensing, including architects, construction lawyers, construction firms, utility service providers and public officials who deal with building regulations, including approvals and inspections. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business, the warehouse project and the utility connections are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE WAREHOUSE

The warehouse:
• Will be used for general storage activities, such as storage of books or stationery. The warehouse will not be used for any goods requiring special conditions, such as food, chemicals or pharmaceuticals.
• Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). Each floor is 3 meters (9 feet, 10 inches) high.
• Has road access and is located in the periurban area of the economy’s largest business city (that is, on the fringes of the city but still within its official limits).
• Is not located in a special economic or industrial zone. The zoning requirements for warehouses are met by building in an area where similar warehouses can be found.
• Is located on a land plot of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) that is 100% owned by BuildCo and is accurately registered in the cadastre and land registry.
• Is a new construction (there was no previous construction on the land).
• Has complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

The business (BuildCo):
• Is a limited liability company.
• Operates in the economy’s largest business city.
• Is 100% domestically and privately owned.
• Has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
• Is fully licensed and insured to carry out construction projects, such as building warehouses.
• Has 60 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to obtain construction permits and approvals.
• Has at least 1 employee who is a licensed architect and registered with the local association of architects.
• Has paid all taxes and taken out all necessary insurance applicable to its general business activity (for example, accidental insurance for construction workers and third-person liability).
• Owns the land on which the warehouse is built.
• Will include all technical equipment required to make the warehouse fully operational.
• Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements).

**ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE UTILITY CONNECTIONS**

The electricity connection:
• Is 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) from the main electricity network.
• Is a medium-tension, 3-phase, 4-wire Y, 140-kilovolt-ampere (kV A) connection. Three-phase service is available in the construction area.
• Will be delivered by an overhead service, unless overhead service is not available in the periurban area.
• Consists of a simple hookup unless installation of a private substation (transformer) or extension of network is required.
• Requires the installation of only one electricity meter.

BuildCo is assumed to have a licensed electrician on its team to complete the internal wiring for the warehouse. The water and sewerage connection:
• Is 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) from the existing water source and sewer tap.
• Does not require water for fire protection reasons; a fire extinguishing system (dry system) will be used instead. If a wet fire protection system is required by law, it is assumed that the water demand specified below also covers the water needed for fire protection.
• Has an average water use of 662 liters (175 gallons) a day and an average wastewater flow of 568 liters (150 gallons) a day.
• Has a peak water use of 1,325 liters (350 gallons) a day and a peak wastewater flow of 1,136 liters (300 gallons) a day.
• Will have a constant level of water demand and wastewater flow throughout the year.

The telephone connection:
• Is 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) from the main telephone network.
• Is a fixed land line.

**PROCEDURES**

A procedure is any interaction of the company’s employees or managers with external parties, including government agencies, notaries, the land registry, the cadastre, utility companies, public and private inspectors and technical experts apart from in-house architects and engineers. Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and inspections conducted by employees, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, sewerage and telephone services are included. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for building a warehouse are counted, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases (table 14.4).

**TIME**

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that local experts indicate is necessary to complete a procedure in practice. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that BuildCo is aware of all building requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

**COST**

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy’s income per capita. Only official costs are recorded. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are recorded, including those associated with obtaining land use approvals and preconstruction design clearances; receiving inspections before, during and after construction; getting utility connections; and registering the warehouse property. Nonrecurring taxes required for the completion of the warehouse project also are recorded. The building code, information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 14.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What do the dealing with construction permits indicators measure?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedures to legally build a warehouse (number)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Obtaining utility connections for electricity, water, sewerage and a land telephone line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Registering the warehouse after its completion (if required for use as collateral or for transfer of warehouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Does not include time spent gathering information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each procedure starts on a separate day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Procedure completed once final document is received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No prior contact with officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official costs only, no bribes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Doing Business database*
The data details on dealing with construction permits can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list.

**REGISTERING PROPERTY**

*Doing Business* records the full sequence of procedures necessary for a business (buyer) to purchase a property from another business (seller) and to transfer the property title to the buyer’s name so that the buyer can use the property for expanding its business, use the property as collateral in taking new loans or, if necessary, sell the property to another business. The process starts with obtaining the necessary documents, such as a copy of the seller’s title if necessary, and conducting due diligence if required. The transaction is considered complete when it is opposable to third parties and when the buyer can use the property, use it as collateral for a bank loan or resell it. The ranking on the ease of registering property is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.3).

Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or must be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers, notaries and property registries provide information on procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

**ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE PARTIES**

The parties (buyer and seller):
- Are limited liability companies.
- Are located in the periurban area of the economy’s largest business city.
- Are 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

**ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE PROPERTY**

The property:
- Has a value of 50 times income per capita. The sale price equals the value.
- Is fully owned by the seller.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 557.4 square meters (6,000 square feet). A 2-story warehouse of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements. The property of land and building will be transferred in its entirety.
- Will not be subject to renovations or additional building following the purchase.
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.
- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants (legal or illegal), and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

**PROCEDURES**

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the buyer or the seller, their agents (if an agent is legally or in practice required) or the property with external parties, including government agencies, inspectors, notaries and lawyers. Interactions between company officers and employees are not considered. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for registering property are recorded, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases (table 14.5).

It is assumed that the buyer follows the fastest legal option available and used

---

**TABLE 14.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do the registering property indicators measure?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedures to legally transfer title on immovable property (number)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Preregistration (for example, checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement, paying property transfer taxes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Registration in the economy’s largest business city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Postregistration (for example, transactions with the local authority, tax authority or cadastre)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· Does not include time spent gathering information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Each procedure starts on a separate day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Procedure completed once final document is received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· No prior contact with officials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost required to complete each procedure (% of property value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· Official costs only, no bribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· No value added or capital gains taxes included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *Doing Business* database.
by the majority of property owners. Although the buyer may use lawyers or other professionals where necessary in the registration process, it is assumed that it does not employ an outside facilitator in the registration process unless legally or in practice required to do so.

**TIME**

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that property lawyers, notaries or registry officials indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all regulations and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered.

**COST**

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. Both costs borne by the buyer and those borne by the seller are included. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

The data details on registering property can be found for each economy at [http://www.doingbusiness.org](http://www.doingbusiness.org) by selecting the economy in the drop-down list.

---

**FIGURE 14.4**

**Getting credit: collateral rules and credit information**

Rankings are based on 2 subindicators

- **Strength of legal rights index (0–10)**
  - 62.5%

- **Depth of credit information index (0–6)**
  - 37.5%

**Note:** Private bureau coverage and public registry coverage are measured but do not count for the rankings.

---

**TABLE 14.6**

What do the getting credit indicators measure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Strength of legal rights index (0–10)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Protection of rights of borrowers and lenders through collateral laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Protection of secured creditors’ rights through bankruptcy laws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Depth of credit information index (0–6)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Scope and accessibility of credit information distributed by public credit registries and private credit bureaus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Public credit registry coverage (% of adults)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Number of individuals and firms listed in public credit registry as percentage of adult population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Private credit bureau coverage (% of adults)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Number of individuals and firms listed in largest private credit bureau as percentage of adult population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Doing Business database.*

---

**GETTING CREDIT**

*Doing Business* measures the legal rights of borrowers and lenders with respect to secured transactions through one set of indicators and the sharing of credit information through another. The first set of indicators describes how well collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending. The second set measures the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through public credit registries and private credit bureaus. The ranking on the ease of getting credit is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.4).

The data on the legal rights of borrowers and lenders are gathered through a survey of financial lawyers and verified through analysis of laws and regulations as well as public sources of information on collateral and bankruptcy laws. The data on credit information sharing are built in 2 stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of a public credit registry or private credit bureau. Second, when applicable, a detailed survey on the public credit registry’s or private credit bureau’s structure, laws and associated rules is administered to the entity itself. Survey responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The survey data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

**STRENGTH OF LEGAL RIGHTS INDEX**

The strength of legal rights index measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders and thus facilitate lending (table 14.6). Two case scenarios, case A and case B, are used to determine the scope of the secured transactions system, involving a secured borrower, the company ABC, and a secured lender, BizBank. In certain economies the legal framework on secured transactions means that only case A or case B can apply (not both). Both cases examine the same set of legal restrictions on the use of movable collateral.

Several assumptions about the secured borrower and lender are used:

- ABC is a domestic, limited liability company.
- ABC has its headquarters and only base of operations in the economy’s largest business city.
- To fund its business expansion plans, ABC obtains a loan from BizBank for an amount up to 10 times income per capita in local currency.
• Both ABC and BizBank are 100% domestically owned.

The case scenarios also involve assumptions. In case A, as collateral for the loan, ABC grants BizBank a nonpossessory security interest in movable property. In economies in which the law does not allow nonpossessory security interests in movable property, ABC and BizBank use a fiduciary transfer-of-title arrangement (or a similar substitute for nonpossessory security interests).

In case B, ABC grants BizBank a business charge, enterprise charge, floating charge or any charge that gives BizBank a security interest over ABC’s combined movable assets (or as much of ABC’s movable assets as possible). ABC keeps ownership and possession of the assets.

The strength of legal rights index includes 8 aspects related to legal rights in collateral law and 2 aspects in bankruptcy law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

- Any business may use movable assets as collateral while keeping possession of the assets, and any financial institution may accept such assets as collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in a single category of movable assets (such as accounts receivable or inventory), without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in substantially all its movable assets, without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- A security right may extend to future or after-acquired assets and may extend automatically to the products, proceeds or replacements of the original assets.
- A general description of debts and obligations is permitted in the collateral agreements and in registration documents; all types of debts and obligations can be secured between the parties, and the collateral agreement can include a maximum amount for which the assets are encumbered.
- A collateral registry or registration institution is in operation, unified geographically and by asset type, with an electronic database indexed by debtors’ names.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before general tax claims and employee claims) when a debtor defaults outside an insolvency procedure.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before general tax claims and employee claims) when a business is liquidated.
- Secured creditors are not subject to an automatic stay or moratorium on enforcement procedures when a debtor enters a court-supervised reorganization procedure.
- The law allows parties to agree in a collateral agreement that the lender may enforce its security right out of court.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

**DEPTH OF CREDIT INFORMATION INDEX**

The depth of credit information index measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a public credit registry or a private credit bureau. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following 6 features of the public credit registry or private credit bureau (or both):

- Both positive credit information (for example, outstanding loan amounts and pattern of on-time repayments) and negative information (for example, late payments, number and amount of defaults and bankruptcies) are distributed.
- Data on both firms and individuals are distributed.
- Data from retailers and utility companies as well as financial institutions are distributed.
- More than 2 years of historical data are distributed. Credit registries and bureaus that erase data on defaults as soon as they are repaid obtain a score of 0 for this indicator.
- Data on loan amounts below 1% of income per capita are distributed. Note that a credit registry or bureau must have a minimum coverage of 1% of the adult population to score a 1 on this indicator.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data in the largest credit registry or bureau in the economy.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating the availability of more credit information, from either a public credit registry or a private credit bureau, to facilitate lending decisions. If the credit registry or bureau is not operational or has a coverage of less than 0.1% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0.

In Lithuania, for example, both a public credit registry and a private credit bureau operate. Both distribute positive and negative information (a score of 1). Both distribute data on firms and individuals (a score of 1). Although the public credit registry does not distribute data from retailers or utilities, the private credit bureau does so (a score of 1). Although the private credit bureau does not distribute more than 2 years of historical data, the public credit registry does so (a score of 1). Although the public credit registry has a threshold of 50,000 litai, the private credit bureau distributes data on loans of any value (a score of 1). Borrowers have the right to access their data in both the public credit registry and the private credit bureau (a score of 1). Summing across the indicators gives Lithuania a total score of 6.
PUBLIC CREDIT REGISTRY COVERAGE

The public credit registry coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a public credit registry with information on their borrowing history from the past 5 years. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2009 according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators). A public credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and financial institutions. If no public registry operates, the coverage value is 0.

PRIVATE CREDIT BUREAU COVERAGE

The private credit bureau coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed by a private credit bureau with information on their borrowing history from the past 5 years. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2009 according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators). A private credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and financial institutions. If no private bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.

PROTECTING INVESTORS

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors’ misuse of corporate assets for personal gain. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor protections: transparency of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and shareholders’ ability to sue officers and directors for misconduct (ease of shareholder suits index). The data come from a survey of corporate and securities lawyers and are based on securities regulations, company laws and court rules of evidence. The ranking on the strength of investor protection index is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.5).

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS

The business (Buyer):

- Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy’s most important stock exchange. If the number of publicly traded companies listed on that exchange is less than 10, or if there is no stock exchange in the economy, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.
- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- Is a food manufacturer.
- Has its own distribution network.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE TRANSACTION

- Mr. James is Buyer’s controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer’s board of directors. He owns 60% of Buyer and elected 2 directors to Buyer’s 5-member board.
- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently closed a large number of its stores.
- Mr. James proposes that Buyer purchase Seller’s unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer’s distribution of its food products, a proposal to which Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer’s assets and is higher than the market value.
- The proposed transaction is part of the company’s ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made (that is, the transaction is not fraudulent).
- The transaction causes damages to Buyer. Shareholders sue Mr. James and the other parties that approved the transaction.

EXTENT OF DISCLOSURE INDEX

The extent of disclosure index has 5 components (table 14.7):

- What corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction. A score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors or shareholders must vote and Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote; 3 if
shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote.

- Whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the regulator or the shareholders is required.\(^6\) A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James’s conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James’s conflict of interest is required.

- Whether disclosure in the annual report is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James’s conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James’s conflict of interest is required.

- Whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if a general disclosure of the existence of a conflict of interest is required without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts relating to Mr. James’s interest in the Buyer-Seller transaction is required.

- Whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. In Poland, for example, the board of directors must approve the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James’s ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index.

**EXTENT OF DIRECTOR LIABILITY INDEX**

The extent of director liability index has 7 components.\(^7\)

- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold Mr. James liable for damage the Buyer-Seller transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if Mr. James can be held liable only if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.

- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold the approving body (the CEO or board of directors) liable for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if the approving body cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.

- Whether a court can void the transaction upon a successful claim by a shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud or bad faith; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest.

- Whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

- Whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

- Whether both fines and imprisonment can be applied against Mr. James. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

- Whether shareholder plaintiffs are able to sue directly or derivatively for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if suits are unavailable or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company’s share.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 14.7</th>
<th>What do the protecting investors indicators measure?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Extent of disclosure index** (0–10) | - Who can approve related-party transactions  
- Requirements for external and internal disclosure in case of related-party transactions |
| **Extent of director liability index** (0–10) | - Ability of shareholders to hold the interested party and the approving body liable in case of a prejudicial related-party transaction  
- Available legal remedies (damages, repayment of profits, fines, imprisonment and rescission of the transaction)  
- Ability of shareholders to sue directly or derivatively |
| **Ease of shareholder suits index** (0–10) | - Documents and information available during trial  
- Access to internal corporate documents (directly and/or through a government inspector) |
| **Strength of investor protection index** (0–10) | - Simple average of the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices |

Source: Doing Business database
capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. Assuming that the prejudicial transaction was duly approved and disclosed, in order to hold Mr. James liable in Panama, for example, a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). The prejudicial transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1) but he is not required to disgorge his profits (a score of 0). Mr. James cannot be fined and imprisoned (a score of 0). Direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Panama a score of 4 on the extent of director liability index.

**EASE OF SHAREHOLDER SUITS INDEX**

The ease of shareholder suits index has 6 components:

- What range of documents is available to the shareholder plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff’s claim; any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim; and any information that may lead to the discovery of relevant information.
- Whether the plaintiff can directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval.
- Whether the plaintiff can obtain categories of relevant documents from the defendant without identifying each document specifically. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company’s share capital have the right to inspect the transaction documents before filing suit. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company’s share capital can request that a government inspector investigate the Buyer-Seller transaction without filing suit in court. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Greece, for example, the plaintiff can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense and that directly prove facts in the plaintiff’s claim (a score of 2). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial, though only with prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents being sought (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2006) and cannot just request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). A shareholder holding 5% of Buyer’s shares can request that a government inspector review suspected mismanagement by Mr. James and the CEO without filing suit in court (a score of 1). Any shareholder can inspect the transaction documents before deciding whether to sue (a score of 1). The standard of proof for civil suits is the same as that for a criminal case (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Greece a score of 5 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

**STRENGTH OF INVESTOR PROTECTION INDEX**

The strength of investor protection index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating more investor protection.

The data details on protecting investors can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov, La Porta, López-de-Silanes and Shleifer (2008).

**PAYING TAXES**

Doing Business records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year as well as measures of the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions. The project was developed and implemented in cooperation with PriceWaterhouseCoopers. Taxes and contributions measured include the profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, property transfer taxes, dividend tax, capital gains tax, financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes, vehicle and road taxes and any other small taxes or fees. The ranking on the ease of paying taxes is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its compo-
Doing Business measures all taxes and contributions that are government mandated (at any level—federal, state or local) and that apply to the standardized business and have an impact in its financial statements. In doing so, Doing Business goes beyond the traditional definition of a tax. As defined for the purposes of government national accounts, taxes include only compulsory, unrequited payments to general government. Doing Business departs from this definition because it measures imposed charges that affect business accounts, not government accounts. The main differences relate to labor contributions. The Doing Business measure includes government-mandated contributions paid by the employer to a required private pension fund or workers’ insurance fund. The indicator includes, for example, Australia’s compulsory superannuation guarantee and workers’ compensation insurance. For the purpose of calculating the total tax rate (defined below), only taxes borne are included. For example, value added taxes are generally excluded (providing they are not irrecoverable) because they do not affect the accounting profits of the business—that is, they are not reflected in the income statement. They are, however, included for the purpose of the compliance measures (time and payments), as they add to the burden of complying with the tax system.

Doing Business uses a case scenario to measure the taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business and the complexity of an economy’s tax compliance system. This case scenario uses a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the year. In each economy tax experts from a number of different firms (in many economies these include PricewaterhouseCoopers) compute the taxes and mandatory contributions due in their jurisdiction based on the standardized case study facts. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing and payments as well as time taken to comply with tax laws in an economy. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS

The business:

• Is a limited liability, taxable company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most popular among domestic firms is chosen. The most popular form is reported by incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
• Started operations on January 1, 2008. At that time the company purchased all the assets shown in its balance sheet and hired all its workers.
• Operates in the economy’s largest business city.
• Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, all of whom are natural persons.
• At the end of 2008, has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita.
• Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
• At the beginning of 2009, owns 2 plots of land, 1 building, machinery, office equipment, computers and 1 truck and leases 1 truck.
• Does not qualify for investment incentives or any benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
• Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and 1 manager is also an owner. The company pays for additional medical insurance for employees (not mandated by any law) as an additional benefit. In addition, in some economies reimbursable business travel and client entertainment expenses are considered fringe benefits. When applicable, it is assumed that the company pays the fringe benefit tax on this expense or that the benefit becomes taxable income for the employee. The case study assumes no additional salary additions for meals, transportation, education or others. Therefore, even when such benefits are frequent, they are not added to or removed from the taxable gross salaries to arrive at the labor tax or contribution calculation.
• Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
• Makes a loss in the first year of operation.
• Has a gross margin (pretax) of 20% (that is, sales are 120% of the cost of goods sold).
• Distributes 50% of its net profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the second year.
• Sells one of its plots of land at a profit at the beginning of the second year.
• Has annual fuel costs for its trucks equal to twice income per capita.
• Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case. All financial statement variables are proportional to 2005 income per capita. For example, the owner who is also a manager spends 10% of income per capita on traveling for the company (20% of this owner’s expenses are purely private, 20% are for entertaining customers and 60% for business travel).

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE TAXES AND CONTRIBUTIONS

• All the taxes and contributions recorded are those paid in the second year of operation (calendar year 2009). A tax or contribution is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes and contributions with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax or contribution.
• The number of times the company pays taxes and contributions in a year is the number of different taxes or contributions multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each tax. The frequency of payment includes advance payments (or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

**TAX PAYMENTS**

The tax payments indicator reflects the total number of taxes and contributions paid, the method of payment, the frequency of payment, the frequency of filing and the number of agencies involved for this standardized case study company during the second year of operation (table 14.8). It includes consumption taxes paid by the company, such as sales tax or value added tax. These taxes are traditionally collected from the consumer on behalf of the tax agencies. Although they do not affect the income statements of the company, they add to the administrative burden of complying with the tax system and so are included in the tax payments measure.

The number of payments takes into account electronic filing. Where full electronic filing and payment is allowed and it is used by the majority of medium-size businesses, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if filings and payments are more frequent. For payments made through third parties, such as tax on interest paid by a financial institution or fuel tax paid by a fuel distributor, only one payment is included even if payments are more frequent.

Where 2 or more taxes or contributions are filed for and paid jointly using the same form, each of these joint payments is counted once. For example, if mandatory health insurance contributions and mandatory pension contributions are filed for and paid together, only one of these contributions would be included in the number of payments.

**TIME**

Time is recorded in hours per year. The indicator measures the time taken to prepare, file and pay 3 major types of taxes and contributions: the corporate income tax, value added or sales tax and labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social contributions. Preparation time includes the time to collect all information necessary to compute the tax payable and to calculate the amount payable. If separate accounting books must be kept for tax purposes—or separate calculations made—the time associated with these processes is included. This extra time is included only if the regular accounting work is not enough to fulfill the tax accounting requirements. Filing time includes the time to complete all necessary tax return forms and file the relevant returns at the tax authority. Payment time considers the hours needed to make the payment online or at the tax authorities. Where taxes and contributions are paid in person, the time includes delays while waiting.

**TOTAL TAX RATE**

The total tax rate measures the amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne by the business in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profit. *Doing Business 2011* reports the total tax rate for calendar year 2009. The total amount of taxes borne is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for allowable deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld (such as personal income tax) or collected by the company and remitted to the tax authorities (such as value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax) but not borne by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into 5 categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer (in respect of which all mandatory contributions are included, even if paid to a private entity such as a required pension fund), property taxes, turnover taxes and other taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle and fuel taxes).

The total tax rate is designed to provide a comprehensive measure of the cost of all the taxes a business bears. It differs from the statutory tax rate, which merely provides the factor to be applied to the tax base. In computing the total tax rate, the actual tax payable is divided by commercial profit. Data for Sweden illustrate (table 14.9).

Commercial profit is essentially net profit before all taxes borne. It differs from the conventional profit before tax, reported in financial statements. In computing profit before tax, many of the taxes borne by a firm are deductible. In computing commercial profit, these

---

**TABLE 14.8**

**What do the paying taxes indicators measure?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2009 (number per year adjusted for electronic or joint filing and payment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Method and frequency of filing and payment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time required to comply with 3 major taxes (hours per year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Collecting information and computing the tax payable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Completing tax return forms, filing with proper agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Arranging payment or withholding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preparing separate tax accounting books, if required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total tax rate (% of profit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Profit or corporate income tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Property and property transfer taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Doing Business database.*
Local freight forwarders, shipping lines, customs brokers, port officials and banks provide information on required documents and cost as well as the time to complete each procedure. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the traded goods are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS

The business:
• Has at least 60 employees.
• Is located in the economy’s largest business city.
• Is a private, limited liability company. It does not operate in an export processing zone or an industrial estate with special export or import privileges.
• Is domestically owned with no foreign ownership.
• Exports more than 10% of its sales.
ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE TRADED GOODS

The traded product travels in a dry-cargo, 20-foot, full container load. It weighs 10 tons and is valued at $20,000. The product:

- Is not hazardous nor does it include military items.
- Does not require refrigeration or any other special environment.
- Does not require any special phytosanitary or environmental safety standards other than accepted international standards.
- Is one of the economy’s leading export or import products.

DOCUMENTS

All documents required per shipment to export and import the goods are recorded (table 14.10). It is assumed that the contract has already been agreed upon and signed by both parties. Documents required for clearance by government ministries, customs authorities, port and container terminal authorities, health and technical control agencies and banks are taken into account. Since payment is by letter of credit, all documents required by banks for the issuance or securing of a letter of credit are also taken into account. Documents that are renewed annually and that do not require renewal per shipment (for example, an annual tax clearance certificate) are not included.

TIME

The time for exporting and importing is recorded in calendar days. The time calculation for a procedure starts from the moment it is initiated and runs until it is completed. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost and is available to all trading companies, the fastest legal procedure is chosen. Fast-track procedures applying to firms located in an export processing zone are not taken into account because they are not available to all trading companies. Ocean transport time is not included. It is assumed that neither the exporter nor the importer wastes time and that each commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. Procedures that can be completed in parallel are measured as simultaneous. The waiting time between procedures—for example, during unloading of the cargo—is included in the measure.

COST

Cost measures the fees levied on a 20-foot container in U.S. dollars. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to export or import the goods are included. These include costs for documents, administrative fees for customs clearance and technical control, customs broker fees, terminal handling charges and inland transport. The cost does not include customs tariffs and duties or costs related to ocean transport. Only official costs are recorded.

The data details on trading across borders can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the “Trading Across Borders” indicator and choosing “Source: Doing Business database.”

Indicators on enforcing contracts measure the efficiency of the judicial system in resolving a commercial dispute. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedure and other court regulations as well as surveys completed by local litigation lawyers and by judges. The ranking on the ease of enforcing contracts is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 14.8).

The name of the relevant court in each economy—the court in the largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita—is published at http://www.doingbusiness.org/ExploreTopics/EnforcingContracts/.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE CASE

- The value of the claim equals 200% of the economy’s income per capita.
- The dispute concerns a lawful transaction between 2 businesses (Seller and Buyer), located in the economy’s largest business city.
- Seller sells goods worth 200% of the economy’s income per capita to Buyer. After Seller delivers the goods to Buyer, Buyer refuses to pay for the goods on the grounds that the delivered goods were not of adequate quality.

The data details on trading across borders can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org. The methodology was developed in Djankov, Freund and Pham (2010) and is adopted here with minor changes.
• Seller (the plaintiff) sues Buyer (the defendant) to recover the amount under the sales agreement (that is, 200% of the economy’s income per capita). Buyer opposes Seller’s claim, saying that the quality of the goods is not adequate. The claim is disputed on the merits.
• A court in the economy’s largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita decides the dispute.
• Seller attaches Buyer’s movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles) before obtaining a judgment because Seller fears that Buyer may become insolvent.
• An expert opinion is given on the quality of the delivered goods. If it is standard practice in the economy for each party to call its own expert witness, the parties each call one expert witness. If it is standard practice for the judge to appoint an independent expert, the judge does so. In this case the judge does not allow opposing expert testimony.
• The judgment is 100% in favor of Seller: the judge decides that the goods are of adequate quality and that Buyer must pay the agreed price.
• Buyer does not appeal the judgment. The judgment becomes final.
• Seller takes all required steps for prompt enforcement of the judgment. The money is successfully collected through a public sale of Buyer’s movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles).

PROCEDURES
The list of procedural steps compiled for each economy traces the chronology of a commercial dispute before the relevant court. A procedure is defined as any interaction, required by law or commonly used in practice, between the parties or between them and the judge or court officer. This includes steps to file and serve the case, steps for trial and judgment and steps necessary to enforce the judgment (table 14.11).

The survey allows respondents to record procedures that exist in civil law but not common law jurisdictions and vice versa. For example, in civil law countries the judge can appoint an independent expert, while in common law countries each party submits a list of expert witnesses to the court. To indicate overall efficiency, 1 procedure is subtracted from the total number for economies that have specialized commercial courts, and 1 procedure for economies that allow electronic filing of court cases. Some procedural steps that take place simultaneously with or are included in other procedural steps are not counted in the total number of procedures.

TIME
Time is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment the plaintiff decides to file the lawsuit in court until payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods between. The average duration of different stages of dispute resolution is recorded: the completion of service of process (time to file and serve the case), the issuance of judgment (time for the trial and obtaining the judgment) and the moment of payment (time for enforcement of judgment).

COST
Cost is recorded as a percentage of the claim, assumed to be equivalent to 200% of income per capita. No bribes are recorded. Three types of costs are recorded: court costs, enforcement costs and average attorney fees.

Court costs include all court costs and expert fees that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to the court, regardless of the final cost to Seller. Expert fees, if required by law or commonly used in practice, are included in court costs. Enforcement costs are all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to enforce the judgment through a public sale of Buyer’s movable assets, regardless of the final cost to Seller. Average attorney fees are the fees that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to a local attorney to represent Seller in the standardized case.

DATA NOTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 14.11</th>
<th>What do the enforcing contracts indicators measure?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedures to enforce a contract (number)</td>
<td>Any interaction between the parties in a commercial dispute, or between them and the judge or court officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time required to complete procedures (calendar days)</td>
<td>Time to file and serve the case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost required to complete procedures (% of claim)</td>
<td>No bribes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Doing Business database.

The data details on enforcing contracts can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with minor changes.

CLOSING A BUSINESS
Doing Business studies the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings involving domestic entities. The data are derived from survey responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on bankruptcy systems. The ranking on the ease of closing a business is based on the recovery rate (figure 14.9).

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS
The business:
• Is a limited liability company.
• Operates in the economy’s largest business city.
is January 1, 2010. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due the next day, January 2. The business will therefore default on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2010 and 2011 as well.

The amount outstanding under the loan agreement is exactly equal to the market value of the hotel business and represents 74% of the company's total debt. The other 26% of its debt is held by unsecured creditors (suppliers, employees, tax authorities).

The company has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court workout. The following options are available: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the company to permit its continued operation; a judicial procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding-up of the company; or a debt enforcement or foreclosure procedure against the company, enforced either in court (or through another government authority) or out of court (for example, by appointing a receiver).

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE PARTIES

The bank wants to recover as much as possible of its loan, as quickly and cheaply as possible. The unsecured creditors will do everything permitted under the applicable laws to avoid a piecemeal sale of the assets. The majority shareholder wants to keep the company operating and under its control. Management wants to keep the company operating and preserve their jobs. All the parties are local entities or citizens; no foreign parties are involved.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE CASE

The business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2009 reduced its net worth to a negative figure. It is January 1, 2010. There is no cash to pay the bank principal. The loss therefore must be recorded in calendar years.

The company has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court workout. The following options are available: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the company to permit its continued operation; a judicial procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding-up of the company; or a debt enforcement or foreclosure procedure against the company, enforced either in court (or through another government authority) or out of court (for example, by appointing a receiver).

TABLE 14.12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do the closing a business indicators measure?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time required to recover debt (years)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Measured in calendar years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Appeals and requests for extension are included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost required to recover debt (% of debtor’s estate)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Measured as percentage of estate value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Court fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fees of insolvency administrators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lawyers’ fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assessors’ and auctioneers’ fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recovery rate for creditors (cents on the dollar)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Measures the cents on the dollar recovered by creditors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Present value of debt recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Official costs of the insolvency proceedings are deducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Depreciation of furniture is taken into account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Outcome for the business (survival or not) affects the maximum value that can be recovered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the value of the debtor’s estate. The cost is based on survey responses and includes court fees and government levies; fees of insolvency administrators, auctioneers, assessors and lawyers; and all other fees and costs. Respondents provide cost estimates from among the following options: less than 2%, 2–5%, 5–8%, 8–11%, 11–18%, 18–25%, 25–33%, 33–50%, 50–75% and more than 75% of the value of the estate.

OUTCOME

Recovery by creditors depends on whether the hotel business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the company’s assets are sold piecemeal. If the business keeps operating, no value is lost and the bank can satisfy its claim in full, or recover 100 cents on the dollar. If the assets are sold piecemeal, the maximum amount that can be recovered will not exceed 70% of the bank’s claim, which translates into 70 cents on the dollar.
RECOVERY RATE

The recovery rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recouped by creditors through reorganization, liquidation or debt enforcement (foreclosure) proceedings. The calculation takes into account the outcome: whether the business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the assets are sold piecemeal. Then the costs of the proceedings are deducted (1 cent for each percentage point of the value of the debtor's estate). Finally, the value lost as a result of the time the money remains tied up in insolvency proceedings is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the annual depreciation rate for furniture is taken to be 20%. The furniture is assumed to account for a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2009 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund’s International Financial Statistics, supplemented with data from central banks and the Economist Intelligence Unit.

NO PRACTICE

If an economy has had fewer than 5 cases a year over the past 5 years involving a judicial reorganization, judicial liquidation or debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure), the economy receives a “no practice” ranking. This means that creditors are unlikely to recover their money through a formal legal process (in or out of court). The recovery rate for “no practice” economies is zero.

This methodology was developed in Djankov, Hart, McLiesh and Shleifer (2008) and is adopted here with minor changes.

NOT IN THE EASE OF DOING BUSINESS RANKING

Two indicator sets are not included in this year’s aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business: the getting electricity indicators, a pilot data set, and the employing workers indicators, for which the methodology is being refined.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE WAREHOUSE

The warehouse:
• Is owned by a local entrepreneur.
• Is located in the economy’s largest business city.
• Is located within the city’s official limits and in an area in which other warehouses are located (a nonresidential area).
• Is not located in a special economic or investment zone; that is, the electricity connection is not eligible for subsidization or faster service under a special investment promotion regime. If several options for location are available, the warehouse is located where electricity is most easily available.
• Has road access. The connection works involve the crossing of a road or roads (for excavation, overhead lines and the like), but they are all carried out on public land; that is, there is no crossing into other private property.
• Is located in an area with no physical constraints. For example, the property is not near a railway.
• Is used for storage of refrigerated goods.
• Is a new construction (that is, there was no previous construction on the

TABLE 14.13

What do the getting electricity indicators measure?

Procedures to obtain an electricity connection (number)
- Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances and permits
- Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections
- Obtaining external installation works and possibly purchasing any needed material
- Concluding any necessary supply contract and obtaining final supply

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)
- Is at least 1 calendar day
- Each procedure starts on a separate day
- Does not include time spent gathering information
- Reflects the time spent in practice, with little follow-up and no prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)
- Official costs only, no bribes
- Excludes value added tax

Source: Doing Business database.
land where it is located). It is being connected to electricity for the first time.

- Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). The plot of land on which it is built is 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).

**ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE ELECTRICITY CONNECTION**

The electricity connection:

- Is a permanent one.
- Is a 3-phase, 4-wire Y, 140-kVA (subscribed capacity) connection.
- Is a low-voltage connection 150 meters long (unless a distribution transformer is installed on the customer’s property, in which case the length of the low-voltage connection is 0)\(^8\). The connection is overhead or underground, whichever is more common in the economy and in the area in which the warehouse is located. The length in the customer’s private domain is negligible.
- Involves the installation of only one electricity meter. The monthly electricity consumption will be 0.07 gigawatt-hour (GWh).

The internal electrical wiring has already been completed.

**PROCEDURES**

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company’s employees or its main electrician or electrical engineer (that is, the one who may have done the internal wiring) with external parties such as the electricity distribution utility, electricity supply utilities, government agencies, electrical contractors and electrical firms. Interactions between company employees and steps related to the internal electrical wiring, such as the design and execution of the internal electrical installation plans, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed with the same utility but with different departments are counted as separate procedures.

The company’s employees are assumed to complete all procedures themselves unless the use of a third party is mandated (for example, if only an electrician registered with the utility is allowed to submit an application). If the company can, but is not required to, request the services of professionals (such as a private firm rather than the utility for the external works), these procedures are recorded if they are commonly done. For all procedures, only the most likely cases (for example, more than 50% of the time the utility has the material) and those followed in practice for connecting a warehouse to electricity are counted.

**TIME**

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that the electricity utility and experts indicate is necessary in practice, rather than required by law, to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up and no extra payments. It is also assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). It is assumed that the company does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the company spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the company is aware of all electricity connection requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

**COST**

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy’s income per capita. Costs are recorded exclusive of value added tax. All the fees and costs associated with completing the procedures to connect a warehouse to electricity are recorded, including those related to obtaining clearances from government agencies, applying for the connection, receiving inspections of both the site and the internal wiring, purchasing material, getting the actual connection works and paying a security deposit. Information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

**SECURITY DEPOSIT**

Utilities require security deposits as a guarantee against the possible failure of customers to pay their consumption bills. For this reason the security deposit for a new customer is most often calculated as a function of the customer’s estimated consumption.

*Doing Business* does not record the full amount of the security deposit. Instead, it records the present value of the losses in interest earnings experienced by the customer because the utility holds the security deposit over a prolonged period, in most cases until the end of the contract (assumed to be after 5 years). In cases in which the security deposit is used to cover the first monthly consumption bills, it is not recorded. To calculate the present value of the lost interest earnings, the end-2009 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund’s *International Financial Statistics* are used. In cases in which the security deposit is returned with interest, the difference between the lending rate and the interest paid by the utility is used to calculate the present value.

In some economies the security deposit can be put up in the form of a bond: the company can obtain from a bank or an insurance company a guarantee issued on the assets it holds with that financial institution. In contrast to the scenario in which the customer pays the deposit in cash to the utility, in this scenario the company does not lose ownership control over the full amount and can continue using it. In return the company will pay the bank a commission for obtaining the bond. The commission charged may vary depending on the credit standing of the company. The best possible credit standing and thus the lowest possible commission are assumed. Where a bond can be put up, the value recorded for the deposit is the annual commission times
the 5 years assumed to be the length of the contract. If both options exist, the cheaper alternative is recorded.

In Belize in June 2010 a customer requesting a 140-kVA electricity connection would have had to put up a security deposit of 22,662 Belize dollars in cash or check, and the deposit would be returned only at the end of the contract. The customer could instead have invested this money at the prevailing lending rate of 14.05%. Over the 5 years of the contract this would imply a present value of lost interest earnings of BZ$10,918. In contrast, if the customer had been allowed to settle the deposit with a bank guarantee at an annual rate of 1.75%, the amount lost over the 5 years would have been just BZ$1,983.

The data details on getting electricity can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

EMPLOYING WORKERS

Doing Business measures the regulation of employment, specifically as it affects the hiring and redundancy of workers and the rigidity of working hours. In 2007 improvements were made to align the methodology for the employing workers indicators with the International Labour Organization (ILO) conventions. Only 4 of the 188 ILO conventions cover areas measured by Doing Business: employee termination, weekend work, holiday with pay and night work. The Doing Business methodology is fully consistent with these 4 conventions. It is possible for an economy to receive the best score on the ease of employing workers and comply with all relevant ILO conventions (specifically, the 4 covering areas measured by Doing Business)—and no economy can achieve a better score by failing to comply with these conventions.

The ILO conventions covering areas related to the employing workers indicators do not include the ILO core labor standards—8 conventions covering the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of forced labor, the abolition of child labor and equitable treatment in employment practices.

In 2009 additional changes were made to the methodology for the employing workers indicators.

First, the standardized case study measuring power parity. This level is consistent with recent adjustments to the absolute poverty line.

This year further modifications were made to the methodology based on consultations with a consultative group of relevant stakeholders. For more information on the consultation process, see the Doing Business website (http://www.doingbusiness.org). Changes agreed as of the date of publication are the following:

- For the scoring of the minimum wage, no economy can receive the highest score if it has no minimum wage at all, if the law provides a regulatory mechanism for the minimum wage that is not enforced in practice, if there is only a customary minimum wage or if the minimum wage applies only to the public sector. A threshold was set for excessive flexibility in the paid annual leave period and the maximum number of working days per week. In addition, for the scoring of the annual leave period for the rigidity of hours index and the notice period and severance pay for the redundancy cost, the average value for a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is used rather than the value for a worker with 20 years of tenure.

The data on employing workers are based on a detailed survey of employment regulations that is completed by local lawyers and public officials. Employment laws and regulations as well as secondary sources are reviewed to ensure accuracy. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE WORKER

The worker:
- Is a 42-year-old, nonexecutive, full-time, male employee.
- Earns a salary plus benefits equal to the economy’s average wage during the entire period of his employment.
- Has a pay period that is the most common for workers in the economy.
- Is a lawful citizen who belongs to the same race and religion as the majority of the economy’s population.
- Resides in the economy’s largest business city.
- Is not a member of a labor union, unless membership is mandatory.

ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE BUSINESS

The business:
- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy’s largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically owned.
- Operates in the manufacturing sector.
- Has 60 employees.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements in economies where such agreements cover more than half the manufacturing sector and apply even to firms not party to them.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than mandated by law, regulation or (if applicable) collective bargaining agreement.
What do the employing workers indicators measure?

**Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)**
- Applicability and maximum duration of fixed-term contracts
- Minimum wage for trainee or first-time employee

**Rigidity of hours index (0–100)**
- Restrictions on night work and weekend work
- Allowed maximum length of the workweek in days and hours, including overtime
- Paid annual vacation days

**Difficulty of redundancy index (0–100)**
- Notification and approval requirements for termination of a redundant worker or group of redundant workers
- Obligation to reassign or retrain and priority rules for redundancy and reemployment

**Rigidity of employment index (0–100)**
- Simple average of the difficulty of hiring, rigidity of hours and difficulty of redundancy indices

**Redundancy cost (weeks of salary)**
- Notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary

*Source: Doing Business database.*

**RIGIDITY OF EMPLOYMENT INDEX**

The rigidity of employment index is the average of 3 subindices: a difficulty of hiring index, a rigidity of hours index and a difficulty of redundancy index (table 14.14). All the subindices have several components. And all take values between 0 and 100, with higher values indicating more rigid regulation.

The difficulty of hiring index measures (i) whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks; (ii) the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts; and (iii) the ratio of the minimum wage for a trainee or first-time employee to the average value added per worker.9 An economy is assigned a score of 1 if fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks and a score of 0 if they can be used for any task. A score of 1 is assigned if the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts is less than 3 years; 0.5 if it is 3 years or more but less than 5 years; and 0 if fixed-term contracts can last 5 years or more. Finally, a score of 1 is assigned if the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value added per worker is 0.75 or more; 0.67 for a ratio of 0.50 or more but less than 0.75; 0.33 for a ratio of 0.25 or more but less than 0.50; and 0 for a ratio of less than 0.25. A score of 0 is also assigned if the minimum wage is set by a collective bargaining agreement that applies to less than half the manufacturing sector or does not apply to firms not party to it, or if the minimum wage is set by law but does not apply to workers who are in their apprentice period. A ratio of 0.251 (and therefore a score of 0.33) is automatically assigned in 4 cases: if there is no minimum wage, if the law provides a regulatory mechanism for the minimum wage that is not enforced in practice, if there is no minimum wage set by law but there is a wage amount that is customarily used as a minimum or if there is no minimum wage set by law in the private sector but there is one in the public sector.

In Benin, for example, fixed-term contracts are not prohibited for permanent tasks (a score of 1), and they can be used for a maximum of 4 years (a score of 0.5). The ratio of the mandated minimum wage to the value added per worker is 0.58 (a score of 0.67). Averaging the 3 values and scaling the index to 100 gives Benin a score of 39.

The rigidity of hours index has 5 components: (i) whether there are restrictions on night work; (ii) whether there are restrictions on weekly holiday work; (iii) whether the workweek can consist of 5.5 days or is more than 6 days; (iv) whether the workweek can extend to 50 hours or more (including overtime) for 2 months a year to respond to a seasonal increase in production; and (v) whether the average paid annual leave for a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is more than 26 working days or fewer than 15 working days. For questions (i) and (ii), if restrictions other than premiums apply, a score of 1 is given. If the only restriction is a premium for night work or weekly holiday work, a score of 0, 0.33, 0.66 or 1 is given, depending on the quartile in which the economy’s premium falls. If there are no restrictions, the economy receives a score of 0. For question (iii) a score of 1 is assigned if the legally permitted workweek is less than 5.5 days or more than 6 days; otherwise a score of 0 is assigned. For question (iv), if the answer is “no”, a score of 1 is assigned; otherwise a score of 0 is assigned. For question (v) a score of 0 is assigned if the average paid annual leave is between 15 and 21 working days, a score of 0.5 if it is between 22 and 26 working days and a score of 1 if it is less than 15 or more than 26 working days.

For example, Honduras imposes restrictions on night work (a score of 1) but not on weekly holiday work (a score of 0), allows 6-day workweeks (a score of 0), permits 50-hour workweeks for 2 months (a score of 0) and requires average paid annual leave of 16.7 working days (a score of 0). Averaging the scores and scaling the result to 100 gives a final index of 20 for Honduras.

The difficulty of redundancy index has 8 components: (i) whether redundancy is disallowed as a basis for terminating workers; (ii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party (such as a government agency) to terminate 1 redundant worker; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party to terminate a group of 9 redundant workers; (iv) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate...
The redundancy cost indicator measures the cost of advance notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary. The average value of notice requirements and severance payments applicable to a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is used to assign the score. If the redundancy cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary and the workers can benefit from unemployment protection, a score of 0 is assigned, but the actual number of weeks is published. If the redundancy cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary and the workers cannot benefit from any type of unemployment protection, a score of 8.1 weeks is assigned, although the actual number of weeks is published. If the cost adds up to more than 8 weeks of salary, the score is the number of weeks. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks.

In Mauritania, for example, an employer is required to give an average of 1 month’s notice before a redundancy termination, and the average severance pay for a worker with 1 year of service, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years equals 1.42 months of wages. No penalty is levied. Altogether, the employer pays the equivalent of 10.5 weeks of salary to dismiss a worker.

The data details on employing workers can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Botero and others (2004) and is adopted here with changes.

1. The data for paying taxes refer to January–December 2009.
2. These are available at http://www.doingbusiness.org/Subnational/.
3. The Doing Business website (http://www.doingbusiness.org) provides a comparable time series of historical data for research, with a data set back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and data revisions due to corrections.
5. Changes in Doing Business indicators follow very different increments. For example, the possible scores an economy can obtain on the protecting investors indicators can range from 0 to 10, while the procedures, time and cost for, say, starting a business can potentially range from 1 to infinity.
6. The resulting statistic is what is widely referred to as the Z-score. The main point of divergence between the normalization approach chosen for the new measure and the Z-score method is the reference point to which an economy’s improvement is benchmarked. In the first approach an economy’s measure of improvement on a particular indicator is benchmarked to the best and worst performance on that indicator. In the second approach the reference point for benchmarking an economy’s performance is the average for the other 182 economies in the sample. This means that an economy’s reform efforts again are ultimately scored relative to all other economies. Because the new measure is aimed at moving away from the relativeness of the ease of doing business ranking to focus on absolute improvements within economies, the first approach was chosen.

1. The data for paying taxes refer to January–December 2009.
2. These are available at http://www.doingbusiness.org/Subnational/.
3. The Doing Business website (http://www.doingbusiness.org) provides a comparable time series of historical data for research, with a data set back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and data revisions due to corrections.
4. Changes in Doing Business indicators follow very different increments. For example, the possible scores an economy can obtain on the protecting investors indicators can range from 0 to 10, while the procedures, time and cost for, say, starting a business can potentially range from 1 to infinity.
5. See Djankov and others (2005).
6. This question is usually regulated by stock exchange or securities laws. Points are awarded only to economies with more than 10 listed firms in their most important stock exchange.
7. When evaluating the regime of liability for company directors for a prejudicial related-party transaction, Doing Business assumes that the transaction was duly disclosed and approved. Doing Business does not measure director liability in the event of fraud.
8. The distance of the assumed electricity connection was increased from 10 meters to what respondents considered to be a more realistic 150 meters. This change translated in some cases into a higher cost or longer time (or both) for the connection.
9. The average value added per worker is the ratio of an economy’s GNI per capita to the working-age population as a percentage of the total population.